



1762

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER (Small Entity)				Docket No. 1641.00005	
Applicant(s): Bror H. Hanson					
Serial No. 09/954,899	Filing Date September 18, 2001	Examiner K. Jolley	Group Art Unit* 1762		
Invention: MOQD-RELEASE COATING SYSTEMS					
<u>TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:</u>					
Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Small Entity status of this application has been established under 37 CFR 1.27 by a verified statement previously submitted. <input type="checkbox"/> A verified statement to establish Small Entity status under 37 FR 1.27 is enclosed.					
The fee has been calculated and is transmitted as shown below.					
CLAIMS AS AMENDED					
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST # PREV. PAID FOR	NUMBER EXTRA CLAIMS PRESENT	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS	16 -	20 =	0 x	\$9.00	\$0.00
INDEP. CLAIMS	3 -	3 =	0 x	\$42.00	\$0.00
Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable) <input type="checkbox"/>					\$0.00
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT					\$0.00
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No additional fee is required for amendment. <input type="checkbox"/> Please charge Deposit Account No. _____ in the amount of _____ <input type="checkbox"/> A check in the amount of _____ to cover the filing fee is enclosed. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Director is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2712 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17.					
 Signature			Dated: July 28, 2003		
Daniel H. Bliss (Reg. No. 32,398) Bliss McGlynn, P.C. 2075 West Big Beaver Road, Suite 600 Troy, Michigan 48084 (248) 649-6090			I certify that this document and fee is being deposited on July 28, 2003 with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.  Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence Daniel H. Bliss Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence		
CC:					



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Unit: 1762)
)
Examiner: K. Jolley)
)
Applicant(s): Bror H. Hanson)
)
Serial No.: 09/954,899)
)
Filing Date: September 18, 2001)
)
For: MOLD-RELEASE COATING SYSTEMS)
_____)

RESPONSE

#7
Inda
8/4/03
RECEIVED
AUG 01 2003
GROUP 1700

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action dated June 26, 2003.

REMARKS

Claims 1 through 16 remain in the application.

The Examiner has required restriction to one of the following inventions under
35 U.S.C. § 121:

Group I-Claims 1 through 10, drawn to the invention of a mold-release coating
system for a mold surface, classified in class 428, subclass 34.1.

Group II-Claims 11 through 16, drawn to the invention of a method for
forming a mold-release coating system on a mold surface, classified in class 427, subclass
133.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING: (37 C.F.R. 1.8) I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 on July 28, 2003, by Daniel H. Bliss
Daniel H. Bliss

The Examiner has found that the inventions are distinct, each from the other, because the Groups II and I inventions are related as process of making and product made. The Examiner has also found that the inventions are distinct because the product as claimed can be made by another materially different process, for example, the product can be made by applying the wax layer as a solid, applied by friction rubbing/coating, instead of as a liquid.

The Examiner has further found that these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art because of their different classification, and therefore restriction for examination purposes is proper. Applicant respectfully traverses the restriction requirement.

As to the invention of Group I, this invention is directed to a mold-release coating system for a mold surface. The invention is specifically used with a mold surface of a mold. Applicant submits that the invention cannot be made by another materially different process such as applying the wax layer as a solid and the Examiner has not presented any evidence to support his/her position. Applicant respectfully submits that the mold-release coating system for a mold surface is made by the method of claims 11 through 16 as claimed. The invention of Group II claims a method for forming a mold-release coating system on a mold surface of claims 1 through 10. The inventions of Group I and II are related and could all be searched in one search. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that the restriction requirement is improper and should be withdrawn.

Applicant provisionally elects to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 11 through 16, drawn to the invention of a method for forming a mold-release coating system on a mold surface.

Based on the above, it is submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance, which allowance is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Daniel H. Bliss
Reg. No. 32,398

BLISS McGLYNN, P.C.
2075 West Big Beaver Road
Suite 600
Troy, Michigan 48084
(248) 649-6090

Date: July 28, 2003

Attorney Docket No.: 1641.00005